

Order

Michigan Supreme Court
Lansing, Michigan

December 23, 2015

Robert P. Young, Jr.,
Chief Justice

ADM File No. 2015-23

Stephen J. Markman

Brian K. Zahra

Bridget M. McCormack

David F. Viviano

Richard H. Bernstein

Joan L. Larsen,

Justices

Proposed Amendment of Rule 6 of the
Rules for the Board of Law Examiners

On order of the Court, this is to advise that the Court is considering an amendment of Rule 6 of the Rules for the Board of Law Examiners. Before determining whether the proposal should be adopted, changed before adoption, or rejected, this notice is given to afford interested persons the opportunity to comment on the form or the merits of the proposal or to suggest alternatives. The Court welcomes the views of all. This matter also will be considered at a public hearing. The notices and agendas for public hearings are posted at [Administrative Matters & Court Rules page](#).

Publication of this proposal does not mean that the Court will issue an order on the subject, nor does it imply probable adoption of the proposal in its present form.

[Additions to the text are indicated in underlining and
deleted text is shown by strikeover.]

Rule 6 Fees

The fees are: an application for examination, ~~\$400~~\$340 and an additional fee for the late filing of an application or transfer of an application for examination, \$100; an application for reexamination, ~~\$300~~\$240; an application for recertification, ~~\$300~~\$200; an application for admission without examination, ~~\$800~~\$600 plus the requisite fee for the National Conference of Bar Examiners' character report. Certified checks or money orders must be payable to the State of Michigan. Online bar examination payments for first time exam takers must be paid by credit card.

Staff Comment: The proposed order increases the fees for application for the bar examination from \$340 to \$400, reexamination from \$240 to \$300, application for recertification from \$200 to \$300, and application for admission without examination from \$600 to \$800.

The staff comment is not an authoritative construction by the Court. In addition, adoption of a new rule or amendment in no way reflects a substantive determination by this Court.

A copy of this order will be given to the Secretary of the State Bar and to the State Court Administrator so that they can make the notifications specified in MCR 1.201. Comments on the proposal may be sent to the Office of Administrative Counsel in writing or electronically by April 1, 2016, at P.O. Box 30052, Lansing, MI 48909, or ADMcomment@courts.mi.gov. When filing a comment, please refer to ADM File No. 2015-23. Your comments and the comments of others will be posted under the chapter affected by this proposal at [Proposed & Recently Adopted Orders on Admin Matters page](#).



I, Larry S. Royster, Clerk of the Michigan Supreme Court, certify that the foregoing is a true and complete copy of the order entered at the direction of the Court.

December 23, 2015

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Larry S. Royster", is written over a horizontal line.

Clerk